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In the paper by Hansen, Perlovich \& Bauer-Brandl [Acta Cryst. (2003), E59, o1357-o1358], the coordinates of the $R$ enantiomer of the title compound, $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{2}$, were incorrectly given instead of those of the $S$ enantiomer. The correct coordinates of the $S$ enantiomer are given here.

## Comment

In the paper by Hansen et al. (2003), the coordinates of the $R$ enantiomer were incorrectly given instead of those of the $S$ enantiomer, (I). The correct coordinates of the $S$ enantiomer are given in the deposited replacement CIF. Molecular geometry parameters are not affected, except for the signs of torsion angles; the correct values are given in Table 1 below for the torsion angles in Table 2 of the previous report (where there was also an error in the atom numbering). Fig. 1 shows the correct structure of the two independent molecules, which form a hydrogen-bonded dimer without crystallographic symmetry.

(I)

## Experimental

Table 1
Selected torsion angles ( ${ }^{\circ}$ ).

| $\mathrm{C} 5 B-\mathrm{C} 4 B-\mathrm{C} 2 B-\mathrm{C} 3 B$ | $29.1(4)$ | $\mathrm{O} 1 A-\mathrm{C} 1 A-\mathrm{C} 2 A-\mathrm{C} 4 A$ | -81.7 (4) |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| $\mathrm{C} 7 B-\mathrm{C} 10 B-\mathrm{C} 11 B-\mathrm{C} 12 B$ | $-68.0(5)$ | $\mathrm{C} 3 A-\mathrm{C} 2 A-\mathrm{C} 4 A-\mathrm{C} 5 A$ | -144.4 (4) |
| $\mathrm{C} 4 B-\mathrm{C} 2 B-\mathrm{C} 1 B-\mathrm{O} 1 B$ | $83.5(3)$ | $\mathrm{C} 7 A-\mathrm{C} 10 A-\mathrm{C} 11 A-\mathrm{C} 13 A$ | 67.9 (5) |

All H atoms were refined freely $[\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}=0.85$ (3)-114 (5) $\AA$ ].
Data collection: CAD-4-PC Software (Enraf-Nonius, 1992); cell refinement: CELDIM in CAD-4-PC Software; data reduction: $X C A D$ (McArdle \& Higgins, 1995); program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS97 (Sheldrick, 1990); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: ORTEX (McArdle, 1995); software used to prepare material for publication: OSCAIL (McArdle, 1993).
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## addenda and errata



Figure 1
The structure of the two independent molecules of (I). Displacement ellipsoids are shown at the $30 \%$ probability level. Hydrogen bonds are shown as dashed lines.
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# Redetermination and $\mathbf{H}$-atom refinement of (S)-(+)-ibuprofen 

The crystal structure of $(S)-(+)$-ibuprofen, $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{2}$, has been redetermined. It crystallizes in the monoclinic space group $P 2_{1}$ with two molecules in the asymmetric unit, giving a cyclic hydrogen-bonded dimer. All the H atoms were located from difference maps and refined isotropically.

## Comment

The structure of racemic ibuprofen (IBP), (I), has been well described both by X-ray diffraction at 298 K (McConnell, 1974) and single-crystal pulsed neutron diffraction at 100 K (Shankland et al., 1997). The structure of (S)-ibuprofen has also been solved by X-ray diffraction (Freer et al., 1993). However, in contrast to the racemate, the quality and paucity of data did not allow the determination of the positions of the H atoms. It should be noted that the lack of this information is a serious obstacle for a logical classification of the hydrogenbond topology of the compound, and for the calculation of crystal-lattice energy by methods which are sensitive to the geometry of hydrogen bonding (e.g. Gavezzotti \& Filippini, 1997). With this background, the main aim of this work has been to find and refine the positions of the H atoms.

(I)

A view of the two crystallographically independent ( $S$ )-IBP molecules, with the atomic numbering, is presented in Fig. 1. The parameters of the hydrogen-bond geometry for both the racemic and $S$-enantiomer IBP are shown in Table 1 (Taylor \& Kennard, 1982). As there are two independent molecules in the asymmetric unit, the two hydrogen bonds are not geometrically equivalent; one of them is shorter than the other. Comparison of these data gives the following conclusions: (a) the hydrogen bond in the racemate is more linear than in the $S$-enantiomer; $(b)$ one of the $\mathrm{O} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ distances in ( $S$ )-IBP is essentially the same as that in the racemate, but the other is longer; $(c)$ the $\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ distance in the racemate is an average of the analogous parameters of the $S$-enantiomer. The conformations of the $S$-enantiomer molecules are different; the main parameters characterizing these differences are presented in Table 2, together with corresponding ones for the racemate. As can be seen, molecule $A$ in the $S$-enantiomer structure has approximately the same conformation as the IBP molecule in the racemate.
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Figure 1
A view of the $(S)-(+)$-ibuprofen dimer, with the atomic numbering scheme. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the $20 \%$ probability level.

## Experimental

( $S$ )-IBP single crystals were grown by slow evaporation from an $n$-heptanol solution.

## Crystal data

$\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{2}$
$M_{r}=206.27$
Monoclinic, $P 2_{d}$
$a=12.456$ (4) A
$b=8.0362$ (11) $\AA$
$c=13.533$ (3) $\AA$
$\beta=112.86(2)^{\circ}$
$V=1248.2(5) \AA^{3}$
$Z=4$

## Data collection

Enraf-Nonius CAD-4
$\quad$ diffractometer
$\omega-2 \theta$ scans
3086 measured reflections
2910 independent reflections
1683 reflections with $I>2 \sigma(I)$
$R_{\text {int }}=0.019$

## Refinement

Refinement on $F^{2}$
$R\left[F^{2}>2 \sigma\left(F^{2}\right)\right]=0.039$
$w R\left(F^{2}\right)=0.105$
$S=0.98$
2910 reflections
416 parameters
All H -atom parameters refined

$$
D_{x}=1.098 \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{~m}^{-3}
$$

Mo $K \alpha$ radiation
Cell parameters from 25 reflections
$\theta=14-20^{\circ}$
$\mu=0.07 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$
$T=298$ (2) K
Block, colourless
$0.40 \times 0.40 \times 0.30 \mathrm{~mm}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \theta_{\max }=27.0^{\circ} \\
& h=0 \rightarrow 15 \\
& k=-1 \rightarrow 10 \\
& l=-17 \rightarrow 15 \\
& 3 \text { standard reflections } \\
& \quad \text { frequency: } 120 \mathrm{~min} \\
& \text { intensity decay: } 2 \%
\end{aligned}
$$

## Table 1

Hydrogen-bond geometry ( $\AA \AA^{\circ}$ ) in the crystal structures of the title compound and of its racemate.

| $D-\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | $D-\mathrm{H}$ | $\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | $D \cdots A$ | $D-\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{O} 1 A-\mathrm{H} 1 A O \cdots \mathrm{O} 2 B$ | 0.94 (5) | 1.73 (6) | 2.651 (4) | 169 (5) |
| $\mathrm{O} 1 B-\mathrm{H} 1 B O \cdots \mathrm{O} 2 A$ | 1.07 (5) | 1.58 (5) | 2.634 (4) | 168 (4) |
| $\mathrm{O} 2-\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{O} \cdots \mathrm{O} 1^{\mathrm{i}, a}$ | 0.963 (13) | 1.664 (10) | 2.627 (7) | 179.5 (7) |

Table 2
Geometrical and conformational parameters $\left(\AA,^{\circ}\right)$ of $(S)$ - and racemic IBP.

|  | $(S)$-IBP $A$ | $(S)$-IBP $B$ | racemic IBP |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C5-C4-C2-C3 | $144.4(4)$ | $-29.1(4)$ | $140.9(4)$ |
| C7-C10-C11-C12 | $-67.9(5)$ | $68.0(5)$ | $-67.3(4)$ |
| C4-C2-C1-O2 | $81.7(4)$ | $-83.5(3)$ | $88.7(3)$ |
|  |  |  |  |
| O1-C1 | $1.219(3)$ | $1.226(3)$ | $1.222(3)$ |
| O2-C1 | $1.302(4)$ | $1.302(4)$ | $1.305(3)$ |
| C1-C2 | $1.496(5)$ | $1.518(4)$ | $1.509(3)$ |

Data collection: CAD-4-PC Software (Enraf-Nonius, 1992); cell refinement: CELDIM in CAD-4-PC Software; data reduction: XCAD (McArdle \& Higgins, 1995); program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS 97 (Sheldrick, 1997); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: ORTEX (McArdle, 1995); software used to prepare material for publication: OSCAIL (Version 9; McArdle, 1993).

This work was generously supported by the Norwegian Research Council (project No. HS 58101).
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